



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/672,639	09/28/2000	Gary Dan Dotson	00AB154	7884	
75	590 06/01/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Allen-Bradley Company Inc			WANG, JIN CHENG		
Attention: John Patent Dept/704	J Horn 4P Floor 8 T-29		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
1201 South Sec	cond Street		2672		
Milwaukee, WI 53204			DATE MAILED: 06/01/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
+ Advisory Action	09/672,639	DOTSON ET AL./	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jin-Cheng Wang	2672	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 19 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice i) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep	ly to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date or FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. So 36(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate extention or (ee MPEP extension fee ension fee under 2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR			
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) \(\square\) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the
(d) \square they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ns.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been cons <u>e Continuation Sheet</u> .	sidered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-14 and 22-29.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10. Other:			





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

- 1) Applicants argue in essence that neither Tjandrasuwita nor Reddy, et al. teach a single output that can provide data to both CRTs and LCDs as claimed. In response, the Examiner asserts that Reddy teaches the claim limitation of "a single output that can provide data to both CRTs and LCDs". It is clear from Fig. 1, 2 and 7 that data is provided from a single output from Look-up-Table 103 of Fig. 1, Fig. 2 to both CRTs and LCDs. Therefore, Reddy teaches the claim limitation. Moreover, Tjandrasuwita also suggests the claim limitation of "a single output that can provide data to both CRTs and LCDs" because Tjandrasuwita teaches in Fig. 1 a single output within the display graphics controller 107 with the same data being sent to the CRTs and LCDs.
- 2) Applicant argues that there is not proper motivation to combine Tjandrasuwita and Reddy, et al. at least because Reddy, et al. teaches away from a single output. In response, the Examiner asserts that Reddy teaches the claim limitation of "a single output" wherein the single output refers to data being output from a single source. Reddy clearly teaches data being output from a single source such as the Look-up-Table 103 of Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 and then data are provided to the CRTs and LCDs. Moreover, Tjandrasuwita also suggests the claim limitation of "a single output that can provide data to both CRTs and LCDs" because Tjandrasuwita teaches in Fig. 1 a single output within the display graphics controller 107 with the same data being sent to the CRTs and LCDs from that single output within the graphics controller 107.

It is clear that Reddy teaches a graphics controller having a single output within the graphics controller that can provide data to both CRTs and LCDs and Tjandrasuwita teaches a graphics controller having outputs that can provide data to both CRTs and LCDs. Tjandrasuwita's graphics controller has a single data input and two data ouputs to the LCDs and CRTs. Tjandrasuwita's graphics controller processes the image data coming from the memory interface, formats the processed data, and passes the same data to the two output data lines, as can be seen in Figure 1. Therefore, there is a single output within the graphics controller of Tjandrasuwita. Moreover, Tjandrasuwita could have incorporated the Reddy's graphics controller to replace its own graphics controller to produce a single output that can provide data to both CRTs and LCDs to provide a single video controller which can control more than one video display having the SAME or COMMON resolution and refresh rate for diverse display devices (Reddy column 3). Although the internal structure of Tjandrasuwita is not described, it is clear from the internal structure of Reddy that Tjandrasuwita's graphics controller has a multitude of blocks similar to Reddy's wherein a single output exists before being separated into two different data paths to be routed to the CRTs and LCDs (See Reddy Figures 1-2 and Tjandrasuwita Fig. 1) to provide a single video controller which can control more than one video display having the same resolution and refresh rate (Reddy column 3).

3) Tjandrasuwita could have incorporated the video controller of Reddy within the Tjandrasuwita's integrated processing circuit 101 to provide a single output for the LCDs and CRTs because such a construction would have provided a means driving displays of different types from a single output (Reddy Figures 1 and 2; column 1-5) to provide a single video controller which can control more than one video display having the SAME IMAGE resolution and refresh rate (Reddy column 3). Moreover, Reddy further discloses a grayscale color lookup and a logic device 157 and 257 and attribute controller in the video controller to create image signals for the display devices and Tjandrasuwita teaches outputs from the display controller can be displayed in CRTs and LCDs (Tjandrasuwita Figure 1). Tjandrasuwita could have incorporated the video controller of Reddy to be attached to an output from Tjandrasuwita's integrated processing circuit 101 to provide a single output for the LCDs and CRTs. Such modification would have been required for portable computers or multimedia presentation for DISPLAYING the image having the SAME resolution and refresh rate ON BOTH the LCD and CRT DISPLAYS and SWITCHING IMAGES BETWEEN the LCDs and CRTs from the single output when desired (Reddy column 3).

JEFFERY BRIEF PRIMARY EXAMINER